

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-19 are pending in the above-identified application, of which claims 11-16 were withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 18 and 19 were rejected. Claim 6 was allowed. With this amendment, claims 1-4, 7-9, and 11-19 were cancelled and claim 20 was added. Applicant maintains that no new matter has been added with this amendment. Accordingly, claims 5 and 6 are at issue in the above-identification application.

Claims 5 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. In response, Applicant has cancelled claim 8. With regards to claim 5, Applicant has amended claim 5 to remove the term “film-like support.” Therefore, Applicant does not feel that claim 5 is vague and indefinite. Applicant maintains, that as a result of the cancelled claim and the arguments made above, that this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 18 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Sadamasa et al.* Claims 1-4, 7-9, 18 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Zavracky et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,206,749). Applicant has cancelled all rejected claim mentioned above and therefore, respectfully traverses these rejections. Withdrawal of these grounds of rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

David Rozenblat  
Registration No. 47,044  
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P.O. Box 061080  
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080  
(312) 876-8000

Dated: February 23, 2005